

PEACE TREATY

Dr. Jamie Weisz,

Your recent letter seems to include an invitation to discuss the situation in a manner of our choosing that results in a peaceful resolution. We believe the elephant in the room is **perspective**. We believe an objective analysis of your recent letter, using perspective as the lens, can help bridge the gap between us. Please try to keep an open mind.

Your letter begins with a collection of statements that, in summary, express your belief that you did a respectable job carrying out your fiduciary duties as executor. In other words, from your perspective, you did nothing wrong.

We have a different perspective.

What was missing from your list of assertions were the elements of transparency and accountability. We believe that a review of the historical facts by an objective third party would conclude that you did not perform your duties as well as you seem to believe.

Your letter then continues on to Aruba.

ARUBA

You have reminded us many times that you are a busy doctor with limited time. I am glad you found the time to research market comparables. You provided detailed capitalization values and examined rental potential. You feel confident that your market valuation is accurate and up to date. From your perspective, you did a good job.

What you do not seem to understand is that your analysis reflects a **buyer's perspective**. Your final value represents the highest price that **you**, as the buyer, would be willing to pay for the timeshare. It ignores the possibility that another buyer might value it differently.

Unfortunately for you, Andria is **not** a buyer. Your perspective needs to recognize that distinction.

From our perspective, Andria should be viewed as a **seller**, not a buyer. As a seller, she has every right to seek advice from an experienced real estate professional and/or a financial advisor—in this case, her husband.

A seller evaluates very different factors, including:

- Why sell?
- Is there any need to sell?
- Will the property increase in value?
- Do I actually need the money?

Let's assume we accepted your original offer of \$18,700 and invested it in a CD earning 5%. The return would be approximately \$80 per month. Since we already receive approximately \$13,000 per month, that additional \$80 is financially insignificant. That is not a compelling reason to sell.

Now consider the alternative. If we retained ownership and rented the week, using your own numbers, we could receive approximately \$500 per day for seven days, or about \$3,500. Unlike you, I am not a busy doctor. I currently hold a real estate license and can rent or exchange the week myself. Even after estimating annual expenses of approximately \$2,000, there would still be about \$1,500 remaining, or roughly \$125 per month.

Selling simply makes no economic sense.

In addition, I believe the value will continue to appreciate over time. As you know, Aruba has intrinsic value.

Jamie, from our perspective, it makes no financial sense to sell at any price. We do not need the money. We remain hopeful that one day we will be reunited with our grandchildren and can leave them a piece of their grandfather's legacy.

Jamie, please explain what legal authority you had to deny Andria her one-third ownership interest. You maintain that this was not self-dealing and that it was somehow in Andria's best interests. Yet you transferred ownership without informing her and without offering compensation at that time.

Please explain how that was **not** a violation of your fiduciary duties.

PERSPECTIVE

You mention an executor's fee. Andria tells me she signed a document in which you agreed not to take a fee. Please explain what you are referring to.

You also mention your mother's jewelry that your father gave to your sisters long before he passed away. You now suggest that you should somehow be compensated because of that decision. Please explain.

That would be like arguing that your sisters should now be compensated because, had you repaid your debt to your father ten years earlier, the estate might have grown by another \$500,000.

Perspective is a two-way street.

The bottom line is that you need to understand and respect **our** perspective.

Please write back and educate us. Explain why your perspective is superior to ours. Explain how your \$25,000 offer, considering all relevant factors, is more beneficial than ownership itself. Explain why forcing us to accept your money is somehow in Andria's best interests.

Return the one-third ownership interest and the 53rd week, and end the legal nightmare that awaits.

If you prefer, pay us \$40,000 **and** return the 53rd week. We will then consider resolving the matter without pursuing issues relating to the missing documents. We also will not revisit the manner in which your debt benefited you by more than \$50,000, nor will we demand complete transparency regarding the sale of your father's house.

We would also like the opportunity to reimburse you for one-half of the money Betsy paid you so that we become true one-half owners.

To help everyone maintain perspective regarding the historical facts, I have made it easy for all concerned to review the record.

This letter, your letter, Andria's letter, one of my earlier letters, and other supporting documents have all been uploaded to my Wisetv.com website. The channel is **#27 – "Trouble in Paradise."** The material is not publicly accessible. The access code is **{BLIND}25463**.

Dealing with you and the Aruba matter needs to come to an end.

I want to forgive both you and Betsy. I believe that Betsy was the genesis and was the driving force behind many of your questionable decisions. I believe that, beginning in

April 2023, she convinced you, because of her DDS, to distrust me in everything, and the rest is history.

I am asking you to take off the Betsy glasses and try looking through Andria's glasses instead. You may then see the truth from a different perspective.

We want this to end in a way that everyone can live with.

The choice is simple:

Return Andria's title as an equal owner, or negotiate a price that reflects a seller's perspective rather than solely a buyer's perspective.

If you refuse to engage in an open-minded discussion, then expect that your texts, supporting comments, and related discoveries will also be uploaded. I am not on Facebook, but my understanding is that Betsy has made comments criticizing Andria and me. Channel #27 will allow everyone concerned to judge the facts for themselves.

FUTURE

I will also be creating a **George Weisz Tribute Channel** as part of a retrospective celebrating what would have been his 95th birthday. It is my way of keeping your father's memory alive.

It is time to sign a **PEACE TREATY**.

A new perspective can go a long way.

Please respond within seven days so that we can resolve this matter. Otherwise, we will continue pursuing legal remedies.